

Body of Evidence

I paint because I am a dirty woman. (Painting is a messy business.)

I paint because I like to be bought and sold.

—Marlene Dumas, "Women and Painting" (1993)

MARLENE DUMAS LIKES TO TALK DIRTY. She quips about foreplay with her paintings, muses on the similarities beyone arritis and hookers, and insists:

"There are no virgins here." In this last instance, she is referring to the fact that her subjects are mostly recycled from photographs, but her lineap of sluts and hookers, Magdalensa and Miss January, equally listens out her claim. Time and again, Dumas has included hereaff among her tarry company, warding off titresome defenses of her fraught subject matter with a spirited offensive by claiming the role of the girtty, grimy woman.

Dumas dosori just ralk (dirry; she paints dirry. Her surfaces—ragged with turpentine, smeared and fingered—herry a painter untarfiated to soll her hands when a cloth won't do. Lodged beneath finger-malls, veining palms, Dumas's medium becomes, in a cloth won't do. Lodged beneath finger-malls, veining palms, Dumas's medium becomes, in claims of the particular of the province of the pro

of various sorts bandage these bodies together:
Justice's yers are bound in cloth, Equality's face disappears behind an ashen mask, while Liberry's face, traced in blue, bears the memory of Justice's mien. The child in Give the People What They Warn—who surely allegorizes democracy—is a figure of uncovering; blue spreads open a cloth to reveal her naked body in a sinster suggestion of child prostruction, Equality clutches tatters of the predecessory's covering, but it has disappeared with Liberry and the covering, but it has disappeared with Liberry and the covering, but it has disappeared with Liberry and the covering, but it has disappeared with Liberry and the covering, but it has disappeared with Liberry and the Liberry and post of that cloth, spectral ridges of which remain wisle beenath burtery, concealing layers of paint. In rehearsing these valences of hiddenness and revelation, Dumas seems to explore the structural condition of painting as an act of covering, an opaque medium of containment or burying—that works its self-effacement on the very surface of cloth that is being obsessively passed among the protagonists of these paintings.

Dumas's considerations of paint as the medium of self-concealment are dialectically partnered with her musings on photography's self-exposure. Ultimately, Liberty and the string of paintings it merged from all draw their contradictory logic from the colonial archive: Their source, which is most faithfully femulated in Give of nontimilities of normal liberature of the protagonist of the photographic process the source for her are the poetic reverberations between the exposures of the photographic process the source for most of Dumas's work) and the



Liberty ponders the role of one grubby enterprise (painting) in the affairs of another, even dirtier business (politics).



Liberty's unveiling, moreover, provocatively col-lapses democracy and porn together by suggesting that both enact the titular directive of "giving the people what they want," which in this instance amounts to consuming the raced, prepubescent body, Pomography here bristles between the terms of at and politics as Dumas compares the esteemed ideal of democracy to what she archly intimates may be its visual equivalent: the cheap thrills of porn. Liberty thus ponders the role of one grubly enterprise (painting) in the affairs of another, even dritter business (politics). Painted during the longed-for but violent transition of the artist's "fairform aparthed to democracy, this word and toward the democratic ideals of postsparthed South Africa, even as it quibbles with Liberry's mythologized status in the African postcolonies."

other Libertys sauntering around the tip of Africa circa 1993, as local aritiss grappled with the problem of how to rainsigne the new body politics. Reshada Crouse painted a monumental version of Delacroiss Libert for the Nelson Manded Theart. William Kentridge conceived Liberty Eckstein, and Robin Rhode turged a stone flag across the cracked sidewalks of Johannesburg. Dumas's Liberty would ulmararly join them all in downtomy Johannesburg, transformed into a monumental rapestry installed in South Africa's new Constitutional Court and renamed The Benefit of the Doubt, 1998. That title, a relatively sangune counter to Liberty's worsher image, nonetheless evinces supplies to the dubious sideal of nation, not to mention the capacity of a capitalist democracy to deliver on its promises of equality and justice. Widespread fears about the derailing of the democratic process, coupled with

bleeds into the political, for if Dumas's hands seem freighted, her brush loaded, it is partly because she rejects the myth that color can be shorn of its social context, disavows that prelapsarian, precolonal dream of "pure" color—color cleansed of its dirty bistories—that still circulates among artists and critics alike. Her painting that mong artists and critics alike. Her painting takes flight through her projective imagination—through a fluid traffic between bodies that insinuates the canvas as a porous "skin," a surface of touch through which intersubjective empathies might flow. Venturing contentious identifications, Dumas posits an illicit trade in bodies: between ho and the refracted in bodies: between hor was not a business of the properties of the properties of the properties of longer flowers. The properties of the properties of longer flowers of the properties of longer flowers of longer flowers of longer flowers. The properties of longer flowers of longer flowers of longer flowers of longer flowers of longer flowers. The properties of longer flowers of longer flowers of longer flowers of longer flowers of longer flowers. The properties of longer flowers of longer flowers of longer flowers of longer flowers of longer flowers. The properties of longer flowers of longer flower

In identifying herself time and again with those whom history has cast as dirry, Dumas potentially counters the fetishization of the epidermis with a radical portics of projection—one that almost echoes the utopian ethics of intersubjective empathy, ubutus, being advanced in South Africa in 1993. Yet like any flight beyond one's own boundaries, such voyages of empathetic transport are not without their hazards. Which may explain why—if we follow Derrical in metaphorically thinking of the "touching hand" as the medium of empathy—Liberty's hands are mangled: Her wings are clipped.¹ She is built of dir and ashes. Such wings will never loft high enough to melt. □

LEORA MALTZ-LECA TEACHES IN THE DEPARTMENT OF HISTORY OF ART AND VISUAL CULTURE AT THE RHODE ISLAND SCHOOL OF DESIGN IN PROVIDENCE.





240 ARTFORUM